Case 3:11-cv-05479-PGS-JBD Document 729-3 Filed 04/09/24 Page 1 of 18 PageID: 12483

EXHIBIT 2

COHN LIFLAND PEARLMAN HERRMANN & KNOPF LLP

Peter S. Pearlman Matthew F. Gately Park 80 West – Plaza One 250 Pehle Avenue, Suite 401 Saddle Brook, New Jersey 07663 (201) 845-9600 (telephone) (201) 845-9423 (fax) psp@njlawfirm.com mfg@njlawfirm.com

Attorneys for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff Class

(Additional counsel on signature page)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In re Effexor XR Antitrust Litigation

Master Docket No. 3:11-cv-05479 (PGS/JBD)

This Document Relates to:

Direct Purchaser Actions

DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' PLAN OF ALLOCATION FOR THE DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS

Rochester Drug Co-Operative, Inc., Stephen L. LaFrance Holdings, Inc. d/b/a SAJ Distributors, and Uniondale Chemists, Inc. (collectively the "Named Plaintiffs" or "Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs"), on behalf of the proposed settlement Class,¹ hereby submit this proposed Plan of Allocation to allocate the settlement funds received in the settlement with Wyeth LLC, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Wyeth-Whitehall Pharmaceuticals LLC, and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Company (collectively or individually, "Wyeth"),² plus any interest earned on the settlement funds, and net of Court-approved attorneys' fees, any Court-approved Named

² Wyeth, together with Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. (together, "Teva") are collectively referred to as "Defendants."

¹ The "Class" is defined as follows:

All persons or entities in the United States and its territories who purchased Effexor XR and/or AB-rated generic versions of Effexor XR directly from any of the Defendants at any time during the period June 14, 2008 through and until May 31, 2011 (the "Class Period").

Excluded from the Direct Purchaser Class are Defendants and their officers, directors, management, employees, subsidiaries, or affiliates, all governmental entities, and all persons or entities that purchased Effexor XR directly from Wyeth during the Class Period that did not also purchase generic Effexor XR directly.

Also excluded from the Class for purposes of this Settlement Agreement are the following: Walgreen Co., The Kroger Co. (including Peytons), Safeway, Inc., United Natural Foods, Inc. f/k/a Supervalu Inc., H-E-B, L.P. f/k/a HEB Grocery Company, L.P., American Sales Company, Inc., Rite Aid Corporation, Rite Aid Hdqtrs. Corporation, JCG (PJC) USA, LLC, Maxi Drug, Inc. d/b/a/ Brooks Pharmacy, Eckerd Corporation, Meijer, Inc., Meijer Distribution, Inc., Giant Eagle, Inc., and CVS Caremark Corporation (including Caremark and Omnicare) (collectively, "Retailer Plaintiffs").

Plaintiff service awards, and Court-approved expenses, including settlement-related costs and expenses (the "Net Settlement Fund").

The proposed Plan of Allocation ("Allocation Plan") allocates the Net Settlement Fund based on each Class member's *pro rata* share of combined net purchases of brand and generic Effexor XR capsules purchased directly from Wyeth or Teva.³ This proposal is similar to allocation plans that have been approved in settlements of similar class actions brought by direct purchasers to recover overcharges arising from allegedly impaired generic competition.⁴

³ See Declaration of Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D. Related to Proposed Allocation Plan and Net Settlement Fund Allocation, dated April 8, 2024 ("Leitzinger Allocation Decl."), at \P 6 & n.5 (filed herewith).

⁴ See, e.g., In re Lipitor Antitrust Litig., 3:12-cv-02389, ECF Nos. 1363-3, 1374 at ¶ 13 (D.N.J.) (preliminarily approving plan of allocation where pro rata shares of settlement fund are computed on basis of claimants' brand and generic purchases); In re Suboxone, 2024 WL 815503, at *12 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 27, 2024) (approving plan of allocation because "it provides a straightforward method for determining each Class Member's *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund based upon purchases"); In re Novartis and Par Antitrust Litig., 1:18-cv-4361, ECF Nos. 587-2, 635 (S.D.N.Y.) (pro rata shares of settlement fund computed on basis of claimants' brand and generic purchases); In re Intuniv Antitrust Litig., 1:16-cv-12653, ECF Nos. 480-7, 551 (D. Mass.) (same); In re Loestrin 24 FE Antitrust Litig., 1:13-md-02472, ECF Nos. 1411-8, 1462 (D.R.I.) (same); In re Namenda Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig., 1:15-cv-7488, ECF Nos. 919-2, 947 (S.D.N.Y.) (same); In re Solodyn (Minocycline Hydrochloride) Antitrust Litig., 1:14-md-02503-DJC, ECF Nos. 1163-4, 1179 (D. Mass.) (same); In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig., 3:14-md-02521-WHO, ECF Nos. 1004-5, 1054 (N.D. Cal.) (same); In re Aggrenox Antitrust Litig., No. 14-md-02516, ECF Nos. 733-8, 739 (D. Conn.) (pro rata shares of settlement fund computed on basis of purchases); King Drug of Florence, Inc. v. Cephalon, Inc., No. 2:06-cv-01797, ECF Nos. 864-17, 870 (E.D. Pa.) (same); In re Dorvx Antitrust Litig. (Mylan Pharms., Inc. v. Warner Chilcott Public Ltd.), No.

Plaintiffs' expert, economist Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D., can calculate each Class member's (and eventually, each Claimant's⁵) percentage share of the Net Settlement Fund using sales data for brand and generic Effexor XR produced by Wyeth and Teva in this litigation.⁶ Claimants will also have the option of submitting their own records or data showing their net unit purchases of brand or generic Effexor XR during the relevant periods described below in, *inter alia*, Section 2.1,

^{2:12-}cv-03824, ECF Nos. 452-3, 665 (E.D. Pa.) (same); *In re Tricor Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, No. 1:05-00340, ECF Nos. 536-1, 543 (D. Del.) (*pro rata* shares of settlement fund computed on basis of claimants' unit purchases in a product hop case).

⁵ A "Claimant" is any entity that timely submits a completed claim form. A Claimant's percentage share will be zero if that Claimant timely submits a claim form but that Claimant's claim is rejected because, for example, the Claimant did not purchase brand or generic Effexor XR during the relevant time period (described below) and does not have any valid assignment covering any such direct purchases. Allocations to Claimants whose right to settlement allocation arises by virtue of assignment from Class members would be determined in the same way as allocation for Class members. In such cases, the volumes of brand and generic Effexor XR purchases used to determine the allocation would be the volumes assigned to the Claimant by an otherwise eligible Class member (and the assignor Class member's brand and generic Effexor XR purchase volumes would be reduced by the same amount). Leitzinger Allocation Decl. at ¶ 8 n.9. As the Claim Form will make clear, data submitted by a Claimant who files a Claim Form based on an assignment may be shared with the Claimant's assignor Class member during the claims administration process. In addition, if the assignor Class member and Claimant filing by assignment from that assignor Class member cannot reach agreement about the Claimant's right to recover, including agreement regarding the purchase volumes covered by such assignment, then the disputed share of the Net Settlement Fund shall be placed into escrow and the assignee Claimant and the assignor Class member shall make application to the Court for any such monies held in escrow.

⁶ See Leitzinger Allocation Decl. at ¶¶ 8-9.

and will be required to submit data and documentation regarding any relevant assignment agreement. Dr. Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One Research, Inc. ("Econ One") will review any such submissions and confer with the Claims Administrator and Lead Class Counsel regarding the final calculations, which may include making any necessary and appropriate adjustments. *See* Leitzinger Allocation Decl. at ¶ 10.

Throughout this Allocation Plan, "purchases" refers to purchases, net of returns, made directly from Wyeth or Teva during the relevant time periods or purchases that are covered by a Claimant's assignment from a Class member covering purchases made directly from Wyeth or Teva during the relevant time periods.⁷ *Id.* at \P 6 n.5. The unit of purchase is a capsule of brand or generic Effexor XR. *Id.*

As explained more fully below, Claimants' *pro rata* shares will be based only on purchases of Effexor XR and/or generic Effexor XR made directly from Wyeth or Teva (or covered by an assignment from a Class member) during the relevant time periods. *See id.* at \P 6.

The proposed Allocation Plan is practical and efficient, using computerized

⁷ To be clear, "purchases" do not include branded or generic Effexor XR purchased, directly or indirectly, from any entity other than Wyeth or Teva.

sales data already obtained from Wyeth or Teva.⁸ It also is a reasonable way to allocate the Net Settlement Fund and is fair to all members of the Class.⁹

THE ALLOCATION PLAN

The Allocation Plan works as follows:

1.1 At the appropriate time and after receiving Court approval, the Claims Administrator, working with Dr. Leitzinger's firm Econ One, will provide a separate, individualized claim form (the "Claim Form") for each Class member. The Claim Form will expressly set forth the Class member's purchases of branded and generic Effexor XR from Wyeth or Teva during the relevant period for such purchases, specifically: (a) branded Effexor XR direct purchases from Wyeth from June 14, 2008 through May 31, 2011;¹⁰ and (b) generic Effexor XR direct purchases from Teva from July 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011.¹¹ Dr. Leitzinger can calculate these figures using the sales data produced during discovery by Wyeth and Teva.¹²

¹¹ July 1, 2010 is when generic entry occurred. May 31, 2011 is the end of the Class Period. Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at \P 6.

¹² See Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at ¶¶ 8-9 (explaining that these totals can be calculated from the sales data produced in this case, and that he has already

⁸ See Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at ¶ 11.

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ June 14, 2008 is the beginning of the Class Period and the beginning of the overcharge period Dr. Leitzinger analyzed in the Declaration of Jeffrey J. Leitzinger, Ph.D. Regarding Certification of the Proposed Settlement Class ("Leitzinger Settlement Class Certification Rpt."). May 31, 2011 is the end of the Class Period. Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at \P 6.

The Claim Form will request that the Class member verify the accuracy of the information contained in the Claim Form and will provide instructions for challenging any of the figures or computations contained in the Claim Form. If a Class member agrees that the information in the Claim Form is accurate, it will be asked to sign and return the Claim Form to the Claims Administrator.¹³ If a Class member believes that the information contained in its Claim Form is not accurate, that Class member may submit its own purchase data pursuant to the procedures described below.

1.2 The Claim Form will request the Claimant's full name and mailing address for correspondence regarding the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund and the identity and contact information for the person responsible for overseeing the claims process for the Claimant. In addition, the Claim Form will include the release language contained in the Settlement Agreement with Wyeth. Each Claimant will be required to execute the Claim Form in exchange for receiving any distribution from the Net Settlement Fund.

performed preliminary calculations of each Class member's purchases).

¹³ In order to help the Claimant verify that the purchase totals contained in the Claim Form are accurate, the brand and generic Effexor XR National Drug Codes ("NDCs") will be listed on the Claim Form. The NDCs are standard codes maintained by the FDA and used in the pharmaceutical industry to identify specific pharmaceutical products and allow Claimants to understand precisely what purchases are being considered for purposes of allocation.

1.3 *Timeliness*. The submission of the Claim Form to the Claims Administrator (with any necessary supporting documentation if the Claimant disagrees with the information contained in its Claim Form) will be deemed timely if it is received or postmarked within 60 days of the date Claim Forms are mailed.

2. <u>Calculation of *Pro Rata* Shares of the Net Settlement Fund.</u>

2.1 Each Claimant's allocated share of the Net Settlement Fund will be set in proportion to each Claimant's combined total purchase volumes of (a) Effexor XR purchases from Wyeth from June 14, 2008 through May 31, 2011; and (b) generic Effexor XR purchases from Teva from July 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011; net of any assignments.¹⁴ The Net Settlement Fund is then allocated to each Claimant based upon its percentage share of the total purchase volumes (net of assignments) across all Claimants who submit valid, accepted Claims Forms.¹⁵

2.2 The allocation computation will be based on the following information (whether from the data already produced in discovery or from submissions by Claimants): (a) each Claimant's branded Effexor XR purchases from Wyeth from June 14, 2008 through May 31, 2011; and (b) each Claimant's generic

¹⁴ Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at $\P\P$ 8-9. The dates utilized in this Plan of Allocation are explained above in Section 1.1 and footnotes 10-11.

¹⁵ Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at \P 8(e).

Effexor XR purchases from Teva from July 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011.¹⁶

To calculate the pro rata share for each Claimant of the Net 2.3 Settlement Fund, the Claims Administrator, working with Dr. Leitzinger, will take (a) each Claimant's combined total purchases of branded Effexor XR from Wyeth from June 14, 2008 through May 31, 2011 and generic Effexor XR from Teva from July 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011, (b) remove any purchases for which the rights to damages in this litigation have been assigned by agreement, and divide it by (c) the combined total purchases by all Claimants (net of assignments) who timely submit valid, accepted Claim Forms of brand Effexor XR from Wyeth from June 14, 2008 through May 31, 2011, and generic Effexor XR from Teva from July 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011. This calculation will yield each Claimant's pro rata share of the Net Settlement Fund.¹⁷ Using data produced in discovery, Dr. Leitzinger has already performed a preliminary computation of brand Effexor XR purchases from Wyeth (June 14, 2008 through May 31, 2011) and generic Effexor XR purchases

¹⁶ *Id.* at \P 8. Claimants that have filed based on an assignment from a Class member must submit documentation of the assignment and data showing the purchases covered by any such assignment with their Claim. In addition, Class members that have assigned part or all of their claim by entering assignment agreements with the Retailer Plaintiffs shall have their purchase totals reduced by the volumes covered by such assignments and shall be required to submit data with their claims showing the volumes covered by such assignments and shall be required to submit data with their claims showing the volumes covered by such assignments and copies of the relevant assignments.

¹⁷ *Id.* at \P 8.

from Teva (July 1, 2010 through May 31, 2011) for each Class member and can use these figures to calculate the percentage shares of the Net Settlement Fund due to each Class member.¹⁸ Should any Class member fail to submit a claim or should any Claimant document and submit an alternative amount of purchases that is approved by the Claims Administrator (in consultation with Dr. Leitzinger and Lead Class Counsel), the Claimant's shares will be recalculated accordingly.¹⁹

2.4 The final calculations of each Claimant's *pro rata* share will then be applied to the Net Settlement Fund to determine each Claimant's allocated share (in dollars).

3. <u>Processing of Claims.</u>

3.1 All Claims will be reviewed and processed by the Claims Administrator, with assistance from Dr. Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One as required and appropriate.

3.2 *Acceptance and Rejection*. The Claims Administrator shall first determine whether a Claim Form received is timely, properly completed, and signed. If a Claim Form is incomplete, the Claims Administrator shall communicate with the Claimant via First Class Mail, email, or telephone regarding the deficiency. The Claims Administrator may also contact Claimants requesting additional

¹⁸ *Id*. at ¶ 9.

¹⁹ *See id*. at ¶ 10.

documentation or other materials. Claimants will have 14 days from the date they are contacted by the Claims Administrator regarding any question, requests for additional information, deficiency, or any other issue to provide a complete response, the requested documentation or other materials, and/or to cure any such deficiency. If a Claimant fails to adequately respond and/or correct any deficiency within 14 days, its claim may be rejected and the Claimant shall be notified by letter stating the reason for rejection. The Claims Administrator will then review all completed, non-deficient Claim Forms to determine whether each will be accepted or rejected and will notify any Claimants whose Claim Forms are rejected by letter stating that the Claimant's Claim Form is rejected and stating the reason for rejection. Any Claimant whose Claim Form is rejected may seek review by the Court via the appeals process described in Section 7.2 below.

3.3 All late Claims Forms that are otherwise complete will be processed by the Claims Administrator but marked as "Late Approved Claims." If Lead Class Counsel conclude that, in their judgment, any such "Late Approved Claims" should ultimately not be accepted,²⁰ the Claimant will be so notified, and

²⁰ *Cf. Kuehbeck v. Genesis Microchip Inc.*, 2007 WL 2382030, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2007) (authorizing distribution to timely filed claims and valid claims that were submitted late). Courts have approved similar provisions in similar generic suppression cases. *See, e.g., In re Solodyn (Minocycline Hydrochloride) Antitrust Litig.*, 1:14-md-02503-DJC, ECF Nos. 1163-4 at § 3.3, 1179 (D. Mass.) (approving a similar provision regarding late claims); *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig.*, 3:14-md-02521-WHO, ECF Nos. 1004-5 at § 3.3, 1054 (N.D. Cal.) (same).

then may seek review by the Court via the appeals process described in Section 7.2 below.

3.4 *The Pro Rata Distribution Calculation*. Dr. Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One, in conjunction with the Claims Administrator and Lead Class Counsel, will be responsible for determining the total amount each Claimant will receive from the Net Settlement Fund. Once the Claims Administrator has determined which claims are approved, Econ One will work with the Claims Administrator to calculate each Claimant's *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund as determined by the calculation described above in Section 2.²¹

4. <u>Processing Challenged Claims.</u>

4.1 The Claims Administrator, in conjunction with Dr. Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One and Lead Class Counsel, shall review any and all written challenges by Claimants to the determinations of the Claims Administrator. If upon review of a challenge and supporting documentation, the Claims Administrator, in conjunction with Dr. Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One and Lead Class Counsel, decide to amend or modify their determination, the Claims Administrator shall advise the Claimant who made the challenge. These determinations shall be final, subject to the appeals process described in Section 7.2 below.

4.2 Where the Claims Administrator, in conjunction with Dr.

²¹ See Leitzinger Allocation Declaration at ¶¶ 8-10.

Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One, determines that a challenge requires additional information or documentation, the Claim Administrator will so advise the Claimant and provide that Claimant an opportunity to cure the deficiency within 14 days, as set forth in Section 3.2 above. If that Claimant fails to cure the deficiency within that time, the challenge may be rejected and the Claimant will be notified of the rejection of its challenge by mail, which notification shall be deemed final subject to any appeal and decision by the Court.

4.3 If the Claims Administrator, in conjunction with Dr. Leitzinger and his staff at Econ One, concludes that it has enough information to properly evaluate a challenge and maintains that its initial determinations were correct, it will so inform the Claimant in writing. Such notification shall be deemed final subject to any appeal and decision by the Court.

5. <u>Report to Court Regarding Distribution of Net Settlement Fund.</u>

5.1 After the Claims Administrator reviews all submitted claims and works with Dr. Leitzinger to determine the amount each Claimant is entitled to receive from the Net Settlement Fund, the Claims Administrator will prepare a final report for the Court's review and approval. The report will explain the tasks and methodologies employed by the Claims Administrator in processing the claims and administering the Allocation Plan. It will also contain (a) a list of Class members or other Claimants (if any) who filed Claim Forms that were rejected and the reasons, (b) a list of challenges (if any) to the estimated distribution amounts that were rejected and the reasons, and (c) the date any such Claimant whose challenge was rejected was informed by the Claims Administrator for purposes of calculating the timeliness of any appeal using the procedures set forth below. Finally, the final report shall contain an accounting of the expenses associated with the Allocation Plan, including bills from Econ One and the Claims Administrator, any taxes that are due and owing, and any other fees or expenses associated with the settlement allocation process.

6. <u>Payment to the Claimants.</u>

6.1 Upon Court approval of the final report and declaration of the Claims Administrator, the Claims Administrator shall issue, with Court approval, a check or wire payable to each Claimant who has submitted a complete and valid Claim Form, including to each Claimant that filed a Late Approved Claim.

6.2 Subject to further order of the Court, any monies from the Net Settlement Fund that remain unclaimed after any initial distribution or additional monies received at a later date pursuant to the Settlement with Wyeth shall, if economically feasible, be distributed (with Court approval) to Claimants in an additional distribution or distributions on the basis of the same calculations of the Claimants' *pro rata* combined total of branded and generic Effexor XR purchases described above. 6.3 Insofar as the Net Settlement Fund includes residual funds after distribution or distributions as set forth in the preceding sections that cannot be economically distributed to the Claimants (because of the costs of distribution as compared to the amount remaining), Lead Class Counsel shall make an application to the Court for such sums to be used to make *cy pres* payments for the benefit of members of the Class.²²

7. <u>Resolution of Disputes.</u>

7.1 In the event of any disputes between Claimants and the Claims Administrator on any subject (*e.g.*, timeliness, required completeness or documentation of a claim, or the calculation of the Claimant's unit purchases of branded or generic Effexor XR, share of the net settlement fund, and/or amount payable), the decision of the Claims Administrator shall be final, subject to the Claimant's right to seek review by the Court. In notifying a Claimant of the final rejection of a Claim or a challenge thereto, the Claims Administrator shall notify the Claimant of its right to seek such review.

7.2 Any such appeal by a Claimant must be submitted in writing tothe Court, with copies to the Claims Administrator and Lead Class Counsel, within14 days of the Claims Administrator's final rejection notification to the Claimant.

²² In the experience of Lead Class Counsel, based on numerous prior distributions in similar cases, an application for a *cy pres* distribution is unlikely.

Dated: April 9, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Peter S. Pearlman</u> **COHN LIFLAND PEARLMAN HERMANN & KNOPF LLP** Peter S. Pearlman Matthew F. Gately Park 80 West, Plaza One 250 Pehle Avenue, Suite 401 Saddle Brook, NJ 07663 Tel.: (201) 845-9600 psp@njlawfirm.com mfg@njlawfirm.com

Liaison Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs

BERGER MONTAGUE PC

David F. Sorensen Caitlin G. Coslett 1818 Market Street, Suite 3600 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel.: (215) 875-3000 dsorensen@bm.net ccoslett@bm.net

Peter Kohn Neill W. Clark **FARUQI & FARUQI LLP** 1617 JFK Blvd, Suite 1550 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 277-5770 pkohn@faruqilaw.com nclark@faruqilaw.com

Dianne M. Nast NASTLAW LLC 1101 Market Street, Suite 2801

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

Thomas M. Sobol Gregory T. Arnold 1 Faneuil Hall Sq., 5th Fl. Boston, MA 02109 Tel.: (617) 482-3700 tom@hbsslaw.com grega@hbsslaw.com

Bary S. Taus Kevin S. Landau **TAUS, CEBULASH & LANDAU,** LLP 123 William Street, Suite 1900A

New York, NY 10038 Tel.: (212) 931-0704 btaus@tcllaw.com klandau@tcllaw.com

Don Barrett BARRETT LAW GROUP, P.A. 404 Court Square Philadelphia, PA 19107 Tel.: (215) 923-9300 dnast@nastlaw.com P.O. Box 927 Lexington, MS 39095 Tel.: (662) 834-2488 dbarrett@barrettlawgroup.com

Interim Executive Committee for the Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs